

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,245	03/02/2004	Wolfgang Held	028987.53209US	9893
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 12/29/2006 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			NGUYEN, TU MINH	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/29/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/790,245	HELD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tu M. Nguyen	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 No.	ovember 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		:			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2004 is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(c)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,245

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on November 27, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1 and 5 have been amended. Overall, claims 1-7 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent 5,911,681).

Re claims 1 and 5, as shown in Figures 1, 2, 6, and 7, Tanaka et al. disclose a vehicle exhaust system and a method of triggering exhaust flaps in said exhaust system, the system comprising:

- triggerable exhaust flaps (40, 10),
- a vacuum storage device (41) operatively connected via a nonreturn valve (6) to an intake system (11) and to an exhaust system (8) via a triggerable solenoid valve (VSV) in parallel therewith, and
- at least one engine characteristics map (Figure 5, 6, or 8) stored in an engine control unit (ECU (100)) for triggering the solenoid valve (VSV) to open and close the exhaust flaps (40) as a function of the at least one stored engine characteristics map which includes the

rotational speed, engine load, the engaged gear, and optionally additional control variables so as to selectively increase an engine torque (when the engine is started in cold plate (i.e., low engine load and low exhaust or catalyst temperature), the solenoid valve (VSV) is activated to allow the vacuum in the intake system to close flow path B, open path A, and close control valve (10) to prevent exhaust gas recirculation back to the engine in order to minimize an engine torque fluctuation (also see lines 43-56 of column 10, lines 12-18 of column 9, and lines 7-32 of column 11)).

Re claims 2, 3, and 6, in the method and system of Tanaka et al., an appropriate engine characteristics map is selected in the control unit from the stored engine characteristics maps depending on a preselectable requirement (see line 42 of column 8 to line 25 of column 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. as applied to claims 3 and 6, respectively, above.

The method and system of Tanaka et al. disclose the invention as cited above, however, fail to disclose that the selected appropriate engine characteristics map is configured to provide a switch between operation of the vehicle as a street vehicle and as a racecar.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,245

Art Unit: 3748

As depicted in Figure 5, 6, or 8 and indicated on lines 43-56 of column 10, Tanaka et al. open or close the exhaust flaps based on at least an engine load. It is obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art that a vehicle operating under a low engine load condition is being driven at a relatively low speed on a city street; and that a vehicle operating under a high engine load condition is being driven at a relatively high speed on a racing circuit.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to references utilized in the previous Office Action have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office Action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Communication

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMN

December 22, 2006

Tu M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Tu M. Nguyen

Art Unit 3748